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#### Abstract

In this paper, we mainly prove the inequality of semi-positive matrices with respect to traces under special partial order and the generalization and proof of the identities of traces of higher-order matrices.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Trace is an important function in matrix algebra. It has many good properties. In this paper, we try to change the product of the matrix into the Hadamard product of the matrix, and get the correlation. Inequality and a special extension of the proof of the third-order special matrix trace given by Xia yin hong, and prove it. Finally, under the condition of the Lowner partial ordering of the matrix, the inequalities of the second-order semi-positive matrices and the third-order semi-positive matrices are given, and the inequalities of the $n-t h$ order semi-positive matrices in the $l o w n e r$ partial ordering are given.

Now let's discuss.

## 2. Some Inequalities on Semi-Positive Matrices' Traces

Lemma1. Let $Z_{n}=\left(E_{11}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{n n}\right)$, then $A \circ B=Z_{n}(A \otimes B) Z_{n}{ }^{T}, A \circ B$ represents the Hadamard product of the matrix, $A \otimes B$ represents the Kronecker product of the matrix.

Lemma2. Let $Z_{n}=\left(E_{11}, E_{22}, \ldots, E_{n n}\right)$, If $X$ is an $n^{2}$ order real symmetric semi-positive definite array, then $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z_{n} X Z_{n}{ }^{T}\right) \geq 0$.

Proof. If $A$ and $B$ are all real symmetric semi-positive matrices, we can know from Lemma 1 that $\quad(A \circ B)^{2}=\left[Z_{n}(A \otimes B) Z_{n}{ }^{T}\right]\left[Z_{n}(A \otimes B) Z_{n}{ }^{T}\right]$
$A^{2} \circ B^{2}=Z_{n}\left(A^{2} \otimes B^{2}\right) Z_{n}{ }^{T}=Z_{n}(A \otimes B)(A \otimes B) Z_{n}{ }^{T}, \quad$ and $\quad A \otimes B \quad$ is a Semi-positive matrix, $\left(I-Z_{n}{ }^{T} Z_{n}\right)$ is a Semi-positive matrix, so $(A \otimes B)(A \otimes B) \geq(A \otimes B) Z_{n}{ }^{T} Z_{n}(A \otimes B)$, and then by Lemma 2. $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Z_{n}(A \otimes B)\left(I-Z_{n}{ }^{T} Z_{n}\right)(A \otimes B) Z_{n}{ }^{T}\right) \geq 0$. So there is $\operatorname{Tr}(A \circ B)^{2} \leq \operatorname{Tr} A^{2} \circ B^{2}$.

Theorem 1. If $A$ and $B$ are real symmetric semi-positive matrices, we have
$\operatorname{Tr}(A \circ B)^{2} \leq \operatorname{Tr} A^{2} \circ B^{2}$.
Lemma3. Assume $A$ and $B$ are real symmetry matrices.
(1) If $A$ is positive or $B$ is positive, the eigenvalues of $A B$ are all real numbers.
(2) If $A$ is positive, we have $B$ is positive if and only if the eigenvalues of $A B$ are all positive real numbers.
(3) If $A$ and $B$ are semi-positive such that the eigenvalues of $A B$ are all non-negative real numbers.

Theorem2. If $A$ and $B$ are semi-positive matrices of the same order, $m$ is a positive integer, such that $\operatorname{Tr}(A+B)^{m} \geq \operatorname{Tr} A^{m}+\operatorname{Tr} B^{m}$.

Proof. By calculating and using Lemma 3,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(A+B)^{m}-\operatorname{Tr} A^{m}-\operatorname{Tr} B^{m} \geq m \operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{m-1} B+A^{m-2} B^{2}+A^{m-3} B^{3} \ldots+A B^{m-1}\right]
$$

and $A^{k}, B^{m-k}$ are still semi-positive arrays, so $\operatorname{Tr} A^{k} B^{m-k} \geq 0$ and
$\operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{m-1} B+A^{m-2} B^{2}+A^{m-3} B^{3} \ldots+A B^{m-1}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{m-i} B^{i}\right) \geq 0$. This proves that
$\operatorname{Tr}(A+B)^{m} \geq \operatorname{Tr} A^{m}+\operatorname{Tr} B^{m}$.
Corollary1. If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are n-th order semi-positive arrays, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+\ldots+A_{n}\right)^{m} \geq \operatorname{Tr} A_{1}^{m}+\operatorname{Tr} A_{2}^{m}+\ldots+\operatorname{Tr} A_{n}^{m}
$$

The significance of Theorem 2 is that even if $A$ and $B$ do not satisfy the commutability, the trace of the positive integer power of $A+B$ and the trace of the positive integer power of $A$ plus the positive integer power of $B$ can be judged.

Now, a similarity is given to identity the third-order special matrix trace which is given by Xia Yin hong. The idea of Xia is if a $3 \times 3$ matrix has real eigenvalues $1, \lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}$, the following equations hold:
$(1)(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{2}=\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}+2 \operatorname{Tr} A$,
(2) $(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{3}+2 \operatorname{Tr} A^{3}=3(\operatorname{Tr} A)\left(T_{F} A^{2}\right)+\oint$

Theorem 3. Assume $A=\left|\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{\lambda} & k_{2} \\ \text { M satisfies } \exists s \in N\end{array}\right|(\lambda \in R)$, here $k_{i}=\operatorname{Oor}(i=1,2),(n-2) \times(n-2)$, the matrix M satisfies $\exists s \in N$, such that $(I-M \mid)^{s}=0$.
Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1)(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{2}=\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}+2(\operatorname{Tr} M)(\operatorname{Tr} A)-(\operatorname{Tr} M)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr} M+2 \\
& (2)(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{3}=\operatorname{Tr} A^{3}+3 \operatorname{Tr} A+\operatorname{Tr} M\left[2+3 \operatorname{Tr} A^{2}-3 \operatorname{Tr} M+3(\operatorname{Tr} M)(\operatorname{Tr} A)-2(\operatorname{Tr} M)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof . We only prove (1), and the proof of (2) is similar.
$(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{2}=\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}+n-2\right)^{2}=\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}+n-2-(n-2)+(n-2)^{2}+2(n-2)\left[\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda}+(n-2)-(n-2)\right]+2$
$=\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}-\operatorname{Tr} M+(\operatorname{Tr} M)^{2}+2 \operatorname{Tr} M[\operatorname{Tr} A-\operatorname{Tr} M]+2=\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}+2(\operatorname{Tr} M)(\operatorname{Tr} A)-(\operatorname{Tr} M)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr} M+2$
It's easy to verify $(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{2}=\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}+2 \operatorname{Tr} A$ when $n=3, M=1$, in this condition, we also have $(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{3}+2 \operatorname{Tr} A^{3}=3(\operatorname{Tr} A)\left(\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}\right)+6$.

Corollary1. Let A is an n-order real symmetric positive definite matrix, and let B and C are the same-order real symmetric semi-positive definite matrices, and $B \geq C$ then
$\forall k>0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left((A+k B)^{-1} B\right) \geq \operatorname{Tr}\left((A+k C)^{-1} C\right)$.
Proof. We notice that $\operatorname{Tr}(A+k B)^{-1} B=\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Tr}(A+k B)^{-1}[(A+k B)-A]=\frac{1}{k}\left[n-\operatorname{Tr}(A+k B)^{-1} A\right]$ $\operatorname{Tr}(A+k C)^{-1} B=\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Tr}(A+k C)^{-1}[(A+k C)-A]=\frac{1}{k}\left[n-\operatorname{Tr}(A+k C)^{-1} A\right]$
since $A+k B \geq A+k C$, then $(A+k C)^{-1} \geq(A+k B)^{-1}$, naturally, we can
obtain $A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A+k C)^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A+k B)^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so $\operatorname{Tr}(A+k B)^{-1} A \leq \operatorname{Tr}(A+k C)^{-1} A$,
thus, $\operatorname{Tr}\left((A+k B)^{-1} B\right) \geq \operatorname{Tr}\left((A+k C)^{-1} C\right)$.
Corollary 2. Let $A$ is a real symmetric positive matrix, and $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ are two real symmetric semi-positive matrices, $B \geq C$, we have $\operatorname{Tr}\left((A+B)^{-1} B\right) \geq \operatorname{Tr}\left((A+C)^{-1} C\right)$.

Proposition2. If $A, B$ are 2 nd-order real symmetric positive definite matrices, $A<B$, then we state that $\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}<\operatorname{Tr} B^{2}$.
Proof. Since the trace is an invariant under similarity, we can set $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ b & c\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}\end{array}\right)$.we write $\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}=a^{2}+c^{2}+2 b^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Tr} B^{2}=\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}+\lambda_{2}{ }^{2}$. hence $A<B$, we have the inequalities $\left(\lambda_{1}-a\right)^{2}>b^{2},\left(\lambda_{2}-c\right)^{2}>b^{2}$, then we
obtain $a^{2}+c^{2}+2 b^{2}<a^{2}+c^{2}+\left(\lambda_{1}-a\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{2}-c\right)^{2}<2\left(a^{2}+c^{2}\right)+\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-2 a \lambda_{1}-2 c \lambda_{2}$.
For $\lambda_{1}>a, \lambda_{2}>c$,
Then there is $2\left(a^{2}+c^{2}\right)+\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-2 a \lambda_{1}-2 c \lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}{ }^{2}$.
so we get $\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}<\operatorname{Tr} B^{2}$.
Proposition3. If $A$ and $B$ are 3 rd-order real symmetric positive matrices, $A<B$, then $\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}<2 \operatorname{Tr} B^{2}$.
Proof. We assume that $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ b & e & f \\ c & f & d\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3}\end{array}\right)$,
then $T r A^{2}=a^{2}+e^{2}+d^{2}+2\left[b^{2}+c^{2}+f^{2}\right] T r B^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}$ we notice that $\left(\lambda_{3}-d\right)^{2}>c^{2},\left(\lambda_{3}-d\right)^{2}>f^{2}$. Then we show that
$a^{2}+e^{2}+d^{2}+2\left[b^{2}+c^{2}+f^{2}\right] \leq a^{2}+e^{2}+d^{2}+2\left[\lambda_{1}^{2}-2 a \lambda_{1}+a^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-2 e \lambda_{2}+e^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}-2 d \lambda_{3}+d^{2}\right]$ $<a^{2}+e^{2}+d^{2}+2\left[\lambda_{1}^{2}-a^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-e^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}-d^{2}\right]<2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)$, so we prove that $T_{r} A^{2}<2 T r B^{2}$.

In fact, for Proposition 2 and Proposition 3, the condition of the positive definite matrix can be weakened to a semi-positive definite matrix. This is Proposition 4.

Proposition 4 . If $A, B, C, D$ are semi-positive matrices with $A<B, C<D$.Then there holds $\operatorname{Tr} A C \leq \operatorname{Tr} B D$.

Proof. It is not difficult to get that $\operatorname{Tr} A C \leq \operatorname{Tr} B C \leq \operatorname{Tr} B D$.
In fact, Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 can be regarded as the inference of Proposition 4. When $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ satisty $A=B, C=D$, the Proposition 2 and proposition 3 are established.

From Proposition 4, we can get the following conclusions. If $A, B C$ are three semi-positive definite matrices, $A \leq B, C \leq I$, then the following statement holds. $\operatorname{Tr}(A C A) \leq \operatorname{Tr} B^{2}$.In fact, $\operatorname{Tr} A C A=\operatorname{Tr} C A^{2} \leq \operatorname{Tr} A^{2} \leq \operatorname{Tr} B^{2}$.
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