



GI-Betweenness Relation And Autometrized Generalised Lattices

Parimi Radha krishna Kishore^{1*}, GebrieYeshiwasTilahun²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Arba Minch University, Arba Minch, Ethiopia and also Guest Faculty, Department of Mathematics, SRM University-AP, Andhra Pradesh, Neerukonda(Village), Mangalagiri(Mandalam), Guntur (District), Andhra Pradesh (State), PIN Code: 522240, INDIA

²Ph.D-Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Arba Minch University, Arba Minch, ETHIOPIA

***Corresponding Author:** Parimi Radha krishna Kishore, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Arba Minch University, Arba Minch, Ethiopia and also Guest Faculty, Department of Mathematics, SRM University-AP, Andhra Pradesh, Neerukonda(Village), Mangalagiri(Mandalam), Guntur (District), Andhra Pradesh (State), PIN Code: 522240, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper discussed about the 3-relation gl-betweenness in a generalised lattice and introduced the concept autometrized generalised lattice.

Mathematics subject classification - MSC2020: 06XX

Keywords: poset, lattice, distributive lattice, Brouwerian lattice, metric space, generalised lattice.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mellacheruvu Krishna Murty and U. MadanaSwamy (Professors of Andhra University)[2] introduced the concept of generalised lattice. The author P.R.Kishore [3,4] developed the theory of generalised lattices that can play an intermediate role between the theories of lattices and posets. The concepts metrized lattice and autometrized lattice is known from Leo Lapidus [5,6]. Later the author P.R.Kishore introduced and developed the concepts Brouwerian generalised lattice in [8] and generalised lattice metrized space (gl-metrized space) in [9, 10]. In this paper section 2 contains some preliminary concepts that are from the references. In section 3 discussed about the 3-relation gl-betweenness on a generalised lattice which is already introduced in [9]. In section 4 introduced and discussed the concept autometrized generalised lattice.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains some preliminaries from the references those are useful in the next sections. The concepts of generalised lattice and distributive generalised lattice are known from [3,4].

Definition 2.1 [Kishore [9]] Let P be a generalised lattice and $a, b, c \in P$. Then b is said to be gl-between a and c in P if $ML(\mu(ML\{a, b\} \cup ML\{b, c\})) = \{b\} = \mu(ML(\mu\{a, b\} \cup \mu\{b, c\}))$, denoted by $(a, b, c) \in glb$.

Definition 2.2 [Kishore [9]] Let S be a set and P be a generalised lattice having least element 0 . If there exists a map $d: S \times S \rightarrow P$ such that (i) $d(a, b) \geq 0$ and $d(a, b) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = b$ (ii) $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$ (iii) $d(a, c) \in L(\mu(d(a, b), d(b, c)))$, then the ordered pair (S, d) is called a generalised lattice metrized space (gl-metrized space). We denote $d(a, b)$ by $a * b$.

Theorem 2.3 [Kishore [10]] Let P be a generalised lattice and $a, b, c \in P$. Then $(a, b, c) \in glb$ implies $(s, b, t) \in pob$ for all $s \in ML\{a, c\}$ and $t \in \mu\{a, c\}$.

Definition 2.4 [Kishore [10]] Let P be a generalised lattice and $a, b, c \in P$. Then a, b, c are said to satisfy the triangular inequality in P if $a \in L(\mu\{b, c\})$, $b \in L(\mu\{c, a\})$, $c \in L(\mu\{a, b\})$, denoted by $(a, b, c) \in glt$.

3. GL-BETWEENNESS

Theorem 3.1 Let P be a generalised lattice and $a, b, c \in P$. Then $b = c$ implies $(a, b, c) \in glb$ and $(a, c, b) \in glb$.

Proof: Suppose $b = c$. Consider $\mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\}) = \mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, b\}) = \mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \{b\}) = \{b\}$. Consider $\text{ML}(\mu\{a, b\} \cup \mu\{b, c\}) = \text{ML}(\mu\{a, b\} \cup \mu\{b, b\}) = \text{ML}(\mu\{a, b\} \cup \{b\}) = \{b\}$. Therefore $(a, b, c) \in \text{glb}$ and $(a, c, b) \in \text{glb}$.

Theorem 3.2 Let P be a generalised lattice and $a, b, c, d \in P$. Then $(a, b, c) \in \text{glb}$ and $(a, d, b) \in \text{glb}$ implies $(d, b, c) \in \text{glb}$.

Proof: Suppose $(a, b, c) \in \text{glb}$ and $(a, d, b) \in \text{glb}$. Then $\mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\}) = \{b\} = \text{ML}(\mu\{a, b\} \cup \mu\{b, c\})$ and by theorem 2.3 we have $(s, d, t) \in \text{pob}$ that is $s \leq d \leq t$ for all $s \in \text{ML}\{a, b\}$ and $t \in \mu\{a, b\}$. To show that $(d, b, c) \in \text{glb}$: Consider $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\})) = L(\{d, b\}) \vee L(\{b, c\}) = (L(d) \wedge (L(\{a, b\}) \vee L(\{b, c\}))) \vee L(\{b, c\}) \geq (L(d) \wedge L(\{a, b\})) \vee L(d) \wedge L(\{b, c\}) \vee L(\{b, c\}) \geq (L(d) \wedge L(s)) \vee (L(d) \wedge L(\{b, c\})) \vee L(\{b, c\}) = L(s) \vee L(\{b, c\})$ for all $s \in \text{ML}\{a, b\}$. This implies $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\})) \geq \bigvee_{s \in \text{ML}\{a, b\}} (L(s) \vee L(\{b, c\}))$. This implies $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\})) \geq L(\{a, b\}) \vee L(\{b, c\}) = L(\mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\})) = L(b)$. We know that $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\})) = L(\{d, b\}) \vee L(\{b, c\}) \leq L(b)$. That is $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\})) = L(b)$. Then $\mu(\text{ML}\{d, b\} \cup \text{ML}\{b, c\}) = \{b\}$. Similarly we can prove $\text{ML}(\mu\{d, b\} \cup \mu\{b, c\}) = \{b\}$. Therefore $(d, b, c) \in \text{glb}$. \square

Theorem 3.3 Let P be a generalised lattice and $a, b, c, d, x \in P$. Then $(a, b, c) \in \text{glb}$, $(a, d, b) \in \text{glb}$ and $(a, c, x) \in \text{glb}$ implies $(d, c, x) \in \text{glb}$.

Proof: Suppose $(a, b, c) \in \text{glb}$, $(a, d, b) \in \text{glb}$ and $(a, c, x) \in \text{glb}$. Since $(a, c, x) \in \text{glb}$, we have $\mu(\text{ML}\{a, c\} \cup \text{ML}\{c, x\}) = \{c\} = \text{ML}(\mu\{a, c\} \cup \mu\{c, x\})$. Since $(a, b, c) \in \text{glb}$, $(a, d, b) \in \text{glb}$; by theorem 2.3 we have $s \leq b$ for all $s \in \text{ML}\{a, c\}$ and $p \leq d$ for all $p \in \text{ML}\{a, b\}$. To show that $L(\{a, c\}) \subseteq L(d)$: Let $s \in \text{ML}\{a, c\}$. Then $s \leq a$ and $s \leq b$, that is $s \in L(\{a, b\})$. This implies there exists $p \in \text{ML}\{a, b\}$ such that $s \leq p$. Then $s \leq p \leq d$ for all $s \in \text{ML}\{a, c\}$. This implies $L(s) \subseteq L(d)$ for all $s \in \text{ML}\{a, c\}$. Therefore $L(\{a, c\}) \subseteq L(d)$. To show that $(d, c, x) \in \text{glb}$: Consider $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, c\} \cup \text{ML}\{c, x\})) = L(\{d, c\}) \vee L(\{c, x\}) = (L(d) \wedge (L(\{a, c\}) \vee L(\{c, x\}))) \vee L(\{c, x\}) \geq (L(d) \wedge L(\{a, c\})) \vee (L(d) \wedge L(\{c, x\})) \vee L(\{c, x\}) = L(\{a, c\}) \vee L(\{c, x\}) = L(\mu(\text{ML}\{a, c\} \cup \text{ML}\{c, x\})) = L(c) \geq (L(d) \wedge L(c)) \vee (L(c) \wedge L(x)) = L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, c\} \cup \text{ML}\{c, x\}))$. Therefore $L(\mu(\text{ML}\{d, c\} \cup \text{ML}\{c, x\})) = L(c)$. This implies $\mu(\text{ML}\{d, c\} \cup \text{ML}\{c, x\}) = \{c\}$. Therefore $(d, c, x) \in \text{glb}$. \square

4. AUTOMETRIZED GENERALISED LATTICES

Definition 4.1 Let P be a generalised lattice with least element 0. If there is a map $d: P \times P \rightarrow P$ such that (i) $a * b \geq 0$ and $a * b = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = b$ (ii) $a * b = b * a$ (iii) $a * c \in L(\mu\{a * b, b * c\})$, then P is called an autometrized generalised lattice.

Note: Every autometrized generalised lattice is a gl-metrized space.

Definition 4.2 Let P be an autometrized generalised lattice with least element 0. Then P is called regular if $a * 0 = a$ for all $a \in P$.

Definition 4.3 An autometrized generalised lattice P is said to be distributive if P is a distributive generalised lattice.

Theorem 4.4 Let P be a regular distributive autometrized generalised lattice. Then for any $a, b \in P$ we have $\text{ML}(\mu\{a, b\}) = \text{ML}(\mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \{a * b\}))$.

Proof: Consider the triangle $\Delta_P(a, 0, b)$. By definitions 4.1 and 4.2, we have $a * b \in L(\mu\{a * 0, 0 * b\}) = L(\mu\{a, b\})$, $a = a * 0 \in L(\mu\{a * b, b * 0\}) = L(\mu\{a * b, b\})$ and $b = b * 0 \in L(\mu\{b * a, a * 0\}) = L(\mu\{b * a, a\}) = L(\mu\{a * b, a\})$. Then by definition 2.4 we have $(a * b, a, b) \in \text{gl}$. This implies we get $L(a) \vee L(b) = L(a) \vee L(a * b) = L(b) \vee L(a * b) = L(a) \vee L(b) \vee L(a * b)$. Consider $L(\mu\{a, b\}) = L(a) \vee L(b) = (L(a) \vee L(a * b)) \wedge (L(b) \vee L(a * b)) = (L(a) \wedge L(b)) \vee L(a * b) = L(\{a, b\}) \vee L(a * b) = L(\mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \{a * b\}))$. Therefore $\text{ML}(\mu\{a, b\}) = \text{ML}(\mu(\text{ML}\{a, b\} \cup \{a * b\}))$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research article dedicated to (late) Prof. Mellacheruvu Krishna Murty, Professor, Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh (state), PIN Code: 530003, INDIA

REFERENCES

- [1] G.Birkhoff, Lattice theory, Amer.Math.Soc.Colloq.Publ. XXV, Providence, R.I, 1967.
- [2] M.K.Murty and U.M.Swamy, Distributive partially ordered sets, The Aligarh Bull.of Math, 8 (1978) 1-16.
- [3] P.R.Kishore, M.K.Murty, V.S.Ramani and M.D.P.Patnaik, On generalised lattices, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 33 (2009) 1091-1104.
- [4] P.R.Kishore, Distributive generalised lattices, International Journal of Computational Cognition, 7(3) (2009) 23-26.
- [5] Leo Lapidus, Lattice metrized spaces, Doctoral dissertation, Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, 1956.
- [6] E.A.Nordhaus, Leo Lapidus, Brouwerian geometry, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 6 (1954) 217-229.
- [7] Everett Pitcher and M.F.Smiley, Transitivity of betweenness, Transactions of American Mathematical Society, 52 (1942) 95-114.
- [8] P.R.Kishore and Demelash K.M., Brouwerian generalised lattices, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics, 29(2) (2022) 205 - 222.
- [9] P.R.Kishore, Generalised Lattice Metrized Spaces (gl-metrized spaces), International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR), 11(3) (2023) 1-4.
- [10] P.R.Kishore, Generalised Lattice Betweenness (gl-betweenness) and Generalised Lattice Triangular Inequality (gl-triangular inequality) 3-Relations on a Generalised Lattice, International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR), 11(3) (2023) 5-7.
- [11] P.R.Kishore. Generalised lattice ordered groupoids (gl-groupoids), International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR), 2(2) (2014) 165-170.

Citation: Parimi Radha Krishna Kishore, *Gl-Betweenness Relation And Autometrized Generalised Lattices*. International Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research (IJSIMR), vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 8-10, 2023. Available : DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20431/2347-3142.1103003>

Copyright:© 2023 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.