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#### Abstract

Two bounds to estimate the number of bits in binary representation of an integer of $N$ decimal bits are proposed. One is more approximate to real value and the other is easier to compute. The former one is suitable for classic programming and the later is more practical in embedded computing, especially in development of system on chip (SoC), when used to be a range of computation or to be a condition of searching.
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## 1. Introduction

Real-time performance is a characteristic and a fundamental demand of embedded system. To ensure the real-time demands, algorithm and programs for embedded system must be efficient and compact, as commented in bibliography [1]. Hence, both hardware and software of embedded system are required to strictly keep a minimal cost, as bibliographies [2]-[5] investigated and proposed. Consequently, algorithm for embedded system programming must be very refinery even for a simple or a trivial problem.
In programming practice, it often requires estimating number of bits of an integer in its binary representation. To a programmer of classical computer, this problem is trivial to cost his or her spends, however it dose have to spend time to design a proper algorithm for a programmer of embedded system.
Looking into many bibliographies, I cannot find one that has an answer to the question. Therefore, this paper makes an investigation on the problem and presents the results.

## 2. Preliminaries

We first need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1 ([6][7]). The floor function $\lfloor x\rfloor$, which is defined by $x-1<\lfloor x\rfloor \leq x$, has the following properties:
(1). for any real $x$ and integers $n:\lfloor n+x\rfloor=n+\lfloor x\rfloor$ and $n>x \Rightarrow n>\lfloor x\rfloor$;
(2) for any real $x$ and $y: x \leq y \Rightarrow\lfloor x\rfloor \leq\lfloor y\rfloor$ and $\lfloor x\rfloor>\lfloor y\rfloor \Rightarrow x>y$.

Where the symbol $a \Rightarrow b$ means that conclusion $b$ is derived from condition $a$.
Lemma 2 ([7]). Total valid bits of positive integer $\alpha$ 's binary representation is $\left\lfloor\log _{2} \alpha\right\rfloor+1$.
Lemma 3. $\frac{301}{1000}<\lg 2<\frac{302}{1000}$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. A positive integer $m$ that has $n(n>1)$ decimal bits requires no less that $\left\lfloor(n-1) \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor+1$ and no more than $1+\left\lfloor n \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor$ binary bits to represent it in binary representation.

Proof. The largest positive integer in decimal expression is $\underbrace{99 \ldots 9}_{n}=10^{n}-1$ and the smallest is $1 \underbrace{10 . .0}_{n-1}=10^{n-1}$. Hence it yields
$10^{n-1} \leq m \leq 10^{n}-1<10^{n}$
which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-1}{\lg 2} \leq \log _{2} m<\frac{n}{\lg 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely,
$(n-1) \log _{2} 10 \leq \log _{2} m<n \log _{2} 10$
Therefore, it yields by Lemma 1
$\left\lfloor(n-1) \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor+1 \leq 1+\left\lfloor\log _{2} m\right\rfloor \leq 1+\left\lfloor n \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor$
By Lemma 2, this validates the theorem.
Theorem 2. A positive integer $m$ that has $n(n>1)$ decimal bits requires no less that $3 n-2$ and no more than $4 n$ - binary bits to represent it in binary representation.
Proof. Let us begin with the inequality (1).
By Lemma 3, it yields
$\frac{1000(n-1)}{302}<\log _{2} m<\frac{1000 n}{301}$
and thus
$1+\frac{1000(n-1)}{302}<1+\log _{2} m<\frac{1000 n}{301}+1$
Substituting $\frac{1000 n}{301}+1=4 n-1-\frac{204 n}{301}$ and $1+\frac{1000(n-1)}{302}=\frac{1000(n-1)}{302}=3 n-2+\frac{94(n-1)}{302}$ in
yields
$3 n-2+\frac{94(n-1)}{302} \leq 1+\log _{2} m<4 n-1-\frac{204 n}{301}$
This immediately leads to
$3 n-2<1+\log _{2} m<4 n-1$
By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 n-2 \leq 1+\left\lfloor\log _{2} m\right\rfloor \leq 4 n-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is what Theorem 2 claims.

## 4. NumERICAL Experiments and Conclusions

Table 1 lists integers of 1 to 10 decimal bits and their binary representations and table 2 lists datum computed by both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 together with the binary bits from table 1 .

Table 1. Integers and their binary representations and number of bits

| Decimal <br> bits $n$ | Integer of $n$ decimal bits and binary representations | Integer of $n$ decimal bits and binary <br> representations |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Minimal value in decimal and binary <br> representation | Binary <br> bits | Maximal value in decimal and binary <br> representation | Binary <br> bits |
| 1 | $1=(1)_{2}$ | 1 | $9=(1001)_{2}$ | 4 |
| 2 | $10=(1010)_{2}$ | 4 | $99=(1100011)_{2}$ | 7 |
| 3 | $100=(1100100)_{2}$ | 7 | $999=(1111100111)_{2}$ | 10 |
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| 4 | $1000=(1111101000)_{2}$ | 10 | $9999=(10011100001111)_{2}$ | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 5 | $10000=(10011100010000)_{2}$ | 14 | $99999=(11000011010011111)_{2}$ | 17 |
| 6 | $100000=(11000011010100000)_{2}$ | 17 | $999999=(1111010000100011111)_{2}$ | 20 |
| 7 | $1000000=(11110100001001000000)_{2}$ | 20 | $9999999=(100110001001011001111111)$ | 24 |
| 8 | $10000000=(100110001001011010000000)_{2}$ | 24 | $99999999=(101111101011110000011111$ <br> $111)_{2}$ | 27 |
| 9 | $100000000=(101111101011110000100000000)_{2}$ | 27 | $999999999=(11101110011010110010011$ <br> $1111111)_{2}$ | 30 |
| 10 | $1000000000=(1110111001101011001010000000$ <br> $00)_{2}$ | 30 | $999999999=(1001010100000010111110$ <br> $00111111111)_{2}$ | 34 |

Table 2. Comparison of datum from Theorem 1, 2 and table 1

| $n$ | $3 n-2$ | $\left\lfloor(n-1) \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor+1$ | Actual num. | $4 n-1$ | $\left\lfloor n \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor+1$ | Actual num. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| 5 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| 6 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 23 | 20 | 20 |
| 7 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 27 | 24 | 24 |
| 8 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 31 | 27 | 27 |
| 9 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 35 | 30 | 30 |
| 10 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 39 | 34 | 34 |

From the 2 tables, one can see that, Theorem 1 is more accurate than Theorem 2. However, it is obvious that the number $3 n-2$ and $4 n-1$ proposed by Theorem 2 are more efficient to compute than the number $\left\lfloor(n-1) \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor+1$ and $\left\lfloor n \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor+1$ proposed by Theorem 1 because, for example, the number $\left\lfloor n \log _{2} 10\right\rfloor_{+1}$ is calculate by two logarithm operations: $\ln 2$ and $\ln 10$, one division: $\ln 10 / \ln 2$, one multiplication: $n(\ln 10 / \ln 2)$, one $\rfloor$ operation and one addition while $4 n-1$ needs only 3 simple operations. Seeing from bibliographies [8], [9], we know that computations of logarithm and floor function is never a simple process. Therefore, the estimates proposed by Theorem 1 are suitable for classical programming, and the estimates proposed by Theorem 2 are more preferred to engineering applications such as embed system or SoC.
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